

Date: Mon, 13 Dec 93 22:11:00 PST
From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Info-Hams Digest V93 #1460
To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Mon, 13 Dec 93 Volume 93 : Issue 1460

Today's Topics:

 10-Codes used by law enforcement (2 msgs)
 ARRL's callsign admin position
 Info sought on QUANTUM batt. packs
 Logging Software / Hyperlog / Logic / Logmaster
 Scratchi, January, 1960
 Sony 2010?
 TVI weirdness
 VK2WI Weekly News, 12 Dec 1993

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 13 Dec 93 19:25:45 GMT
From: dziuxsolim.rutgers.edu!pilot.njin.net!mla@rutgers.rutgers.edu
Subject: 10-Codes used by law enforcement
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

What department are those codes for?

Marc

--

Marc L. Appelbaum, mla@ussu.ciba.com OR mla@pilot.njin.net
908-277-6039

Date: 14 Dec 93 01:29:07 GMT
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu
Subject: 10-Codes used by law enforcement
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Brian Mork [bmork@opus-ovh.spk.wa.us] posted a list of 10 codes used by law enforcement (list deleted). While I'm not sure why it was posted here, I am curious -- this list is not anything like any law enforcement 10 code I have used or seen. It certainly doesn't match the Orange County (California) Radio Codebook, which tries to follow national standards and P.O.S.T. standards.

I am, on the other hand, planning on being in Washington next week. Is this version of the code actually what is used by law enforcement there? I could get quite confused listening in on the local cops if it is, but they would be more confused listening to cops down here, 10-34 in my book is resume normal operation and is used frequently to reopen a frequency that has been restricted to particular traffic ("all units, 10-34 on orange south", by the list posted, the Washington cops would be looking for a riot (that was up in L.A.)

(As I reread my post, I see it could be interpreted as flameage, it is not meant to be -- I am curious)

Wm. A. Kirsanoff Internet: WAKIRSAN@ananov.remnet.ab.com
Rockwell International Ham: KD6MCI
(714) 762-2872

Alternate Internet: william_a._kirsanoff@ccmail.anatcp.rockwell.com

Who are you? * I am number 2. * Who is number 1? * You are number 6.

73

Date: 13 Dec 93 19:35:15 GMT
From: psinntp!arrl.org@uunet.uu.net
Subject: ARRL's callsign admin position
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In rec.radio.amateur.misc, dan@mystis.wariat.org (Dan Pickersgill N8PKV) writes:
>
>Again, the ARRL Guys I have met here have been helpfull in the
>EXTREAM!!! Thank you to ALL you guys (& gals, not to be sexist). And I

>understand that there are CompuServ addresses too. GREAT!

>

>

You're welcome. And thank YOU for taking the time to express appreciation in public.

Yes, we're on Compuserve. At least six times a day. Every day, weekends and holidays included. And we're on NVN, BIX, Prodigy, America On Line and GEnie to boot.

And -- when we can find the time -- we actually get on the radio as well. Sigh...

| | Deputy Manager, Field Services, ARRL.
| |---| The ARRL Amateur Radio Emergency Service, the ARRL
| uck | urder National Traffic System, The Amateur Auxiliary to
-----| | the FCC's Field Operations Bureau, the ARRL
KY1T Field Organization and the ARRL Monitoring System.

lhurder@arrl.org Prodigy - MGTS39A, BIX - ARRL,
MCI Mail - RPALM, MCI Mail - "ARRL", America On Line - "ARRL HQ"
Compuserve - 70007,3373 (ARRL HQ) -- Genie ARRL.HQ

Date: 12 Dec 1993 19:17:50 GMT

From: haven.umd.edu!news.umbc.edu!eff!news.kei.com!yeshua.marcam.com!
zip.eecs.umich.edu!caen!msuinfo!arctic2!cravitma@ames.arpa
Subject: Info sought on QUANTUM batt. packs
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <1993Dec12.095415.1@ccsua.ctstateu.edu> white@ccsua.ctstateu.edu writes:

>
> I've been looking at battery packs for my TH78a.... Has anyone
> used the QUANTUM battery packs? Any feedback appreciated.
> 73s de N1QVE Harry white@csusys.ctstateu.edu

I've not seen the Quantum HT batteries, but I have had very positive experiences with the Quantum batteries for camera flashes. As I recall, they were high capacity gel cells with charging circuitry etc. built in to prevent overcharging. (A photographer friend of mine leaves hers plugged in all the time). Hope this helps.

/Matthew (Still waiting for my ticket, 5 weeks and counting)

--
Matthew Cravit | All opinions expressed here are
Michigan State University | my own. I don't speak for Michigan
East Lansing, MI 48825 | State, and they don't speak for me
E-Mail: cravitma@cps.msu.edu | (thank goodness).

Date: Fri, 10 Dec 93 14:18:27 MST
From: mvb.saic.com!unogate!news.service.uci.edu!usc!cs.utexas.edu!asuvax!ennews!
stat!david@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Logging Software / Hyperlog / Logic / Logmaster
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I am looking at buying one of the three above packages for logging my HF station. Does anyone have one or the other, and be interested in supplying feedback on the product?

David WB7TPY

Editor, HICNet Medical Newsletter
Internet: david@stat.com FAX: +1 (602) 451-6135
Bitnet : ATW1H@ASUACAD

Date: Sun, 12 Dec 93 12:59:33 PST
From: library.ucla.edu!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!
magnus.acs.ohio-state.edu!math.ohio-state.edu!news.cyberstore.ca!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
mala.bc.ca!oneb!ham!emd@network.ucsd.
Subject: Scratchi, January, 1960
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

bcoleman@hayes.com (Bill Coleman) writes:

```
> In article <gregCHo43F.9o4@netcom.com>, greg@netcom.com (Greg Bullough) write
> >
> > However, the posting of racist material without some explanation is
> > distinctly unwelcome, and may be extremely offensive to some who read
> > this forum.
>
> Granted. Why don't we have a show of hands of those who were offended by
> the Scratchi editorial?
>
> > I would hope that we would endeavor to include as many people as possible
> > in this forum; blindly posting racially offensive material is not the
> > way to do that.
```

>
> Greg, I think you are overreacting. While the material in Scratchi may have
> the potential for offense, let's be clear here that someone was actually
> offended before we send Myron away.
>
> All this political correctness is enough to make one puke.
>
>

With respect, Bill, I think you are the one who's over-reacting. In it's original form, when printed in the 50's and 60's, although not intended as racist material, it did portray, nonetheless, an offensive and racist stereotype of Japanese.

What was accepted then by the average person and what is acceptable now has obviously changed, as have many other things in our society. "Amos 'n' Andy" wouldn't get the same reaction today that it did in the forties, either.

I don't think Greg's bringing this to our attention is in any way an expression of "political correctness" - at least in the sense of trying to prevent some one from expressing an unpopular or non-mainstream view. Rather, he appears to be pointing out that many people today will find depictions of racial stereotypes as unfair, repugnant, and offensive. I find his comments to the point, and well taken.

Robert Smits There is *no* idiotproof filter.
VE7EMD Idiots are proof against anything!
Ladysmith B.C. - Richard Chycoski, VE7CVS
e-mail: emd@ham.almanac.bc.ca

Date: Mon, 13 Dec 1993 17:16:08 GMT
From: newshub.nosc.mil!crash!nctams1!pnet16!tjenkins@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Sony 2010?
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Saw a Sony SW radio the other day. Is this radio good? Will it offer performance equal to it's price? What about battery life? I had an ealier model (2000?) that ran outta batteries fairly soon.

--Tom

UUCP: nctams1.navy.mil!pnet16!tjenkins
INET: tjenkins@pnet16.cts.com

Date: 13 Dec 93 05:53:32 GMT
From: psinntp!arrl.org@uunet.uu.net
Subject: TVI weirdness
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In rec.radio.amateur.misc, tjf@beta.lanl.gov (Thomas J. Farish) writes:

>The Cable TVI saga continues.

>Well, it turned out that there was no longer any problem (so far) on any
>band but 10m (a definite improvement, but still...)

>

>Anyway, The weirdness is this. I tried several power levels with him on
>the phone to report problems and he could only hear me on SSB! I turned
>down the power to about 1 watt and he could still hear SSB on channel 13,
>but 90+ watts on CW - no problem!

It sounds like you are dealing with audio rectification. CW has
no audio associated with it, although I would imagine that you would
hear various thumps in the speaker as CW is keyed.

The high-pass filter you built is usually not necessary in cable-tv
installations. I usually recommend a common-mode choke as the best
all-round cure. Buy an FT-240-43 ferrite core. Install it by wrapping
about 10 turns onto the core, just before the cable hooks up to
the first electronic device in the system (set-top converter, VCR
or cable-ready TV). You can also purchase a common-mode choke.
The FT-240-43 core is available from Ocean State Electronics
401-596-3080. A common-mode choke is available from Starplex,
314-423-5756 (part-time business).

You might also try a common-mode choke and/or AC line filter (Radio
Shack catalog #14-1111) on the ac-line cord (TV, VCR or set-top
converter).

The ARRL Technical Information Service has several EMI/RFI information
packages available. Paper copies are available from the ARRL Technical
Department Secretary, 225 Main St., Newington, CT 06111. Send
a 9"X12" SASE with 3 units of US postage for each package required,
or send \$2.00 each and we will send them postpaid. If you want one for
free, send a report of the particulars of your EMI problem to
ehare@arrl.org, AND a specific request for one or more of
the applicable packages.

EMI/RFI Package - obtain this one plus any of the others

EMI/RFI - bibliography
EMI/RFI - CATVI - Reprint from Communications Technology
EMI/RFI - Computer
EMI/RFI - Electrical
EMI/RFI - Telephone

The paper files have some information that cannot be made electronic, such as the ARRL EMI/RFI Pamphlet for the non-technical neighbor. Most of these are also available on our ARRL Electronic Mail Server, info@arrl.org. Send it a request, with HELP in the text of the message.

This information has been prepared as a membership service by the ARRL Technical Information Service.

73, Ed

Ed Hare, KA1CV ehare@arrl.org
American Radio Relay League
225 Main St.
Newington, CT 06111 My posts and views do not necessarily
(203) 666-1541 - voice represent the policy of the ARRL,
ARRL Laboratory Supervisor but I can probably get in trouble
RFI, xmtr and rcvr testing for them anyway!

Date: 12 Dec 93 04:45:00 GMT
From: munnari.oz.au!sol.ccs.deakin.edu.au!news.cs.uow.edu.au!news.ci.com.au!eram!
dave@uunet.uu.net
Subject: VK2WI Weekly News, 12 Dec 1993
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Divisional News

A Council meeting was held on Friday 10th December, at Amateur Radio House. J.Kentwell VK2XBR chaired the meeting; C.Miranda VK2TCM, R.Yorston VK2CAN, R.Henley VK2ZIG, T.Mills VK2ZTM, and ten visitors were present.

Tim VK2ZTM reported on the Council meeting held the previous night: amongst other things the date for the Annual General Meeting was set for 10th April 1994, with the closing date being 28th February; there was nothing further to report on the application from Vodaphone to erect a tower at the Dural site; and the petition for an Extraordinary General Meeting to discuss and vote

upon a motion of no confidence in Council was discussed and referred for legal advice.

Cesar VK2TCM spoke on the confusion surrounding the proper date for the December Council meeting, as the Thursday meeting was not called in accordance with a previously-passed motion. Roger VK2ZIG stated that a quorum of Councillors had called the meeting, all Councillors had been notified of the meeting, and a quorum was present. Bob VK2CAN stated that HE had notified all Councillors of the meeting. Tim VK2ZTM asked why the scheduled meeting was changed to Thursday; Julie VK2XBR replied that he was informed that R.Harrison VK2ZTB could not attend on Friday, so the meeting date was changed.

There was a long discussion on ATV matters, with an emphasis on coming to an agreement before the SMA does it for us. A motion was carried:

That each ATV group be invited to send a representative to a Council meeting to be held next Friday 17th December to discuss a solution to the ATV dilemma and further that relevant documents be distributed on or before 15th December 1993,

with an amendment:

That Councillors with a possible conflict of interest be barred from voting on this issue.

Tony Liolio VK2ZLT was invited to address Council re: the 6m repeater, following an invitation from Roger Harrison that he attend a Council meeting on 10th December. A motion was put:

That this meeting is at a total disbelief at the ineptitude displayed by the Divisional Secretary inasmuch as he wrote to Mr Tony Liolio VK2ZLT inviting him to a Council meeting on 10th December 1993 to discuss the donation of the 6m repeater and yet changed the meeting to the 9th December 1993 without advising him of such a change; and that Tony VK2ZLT and assistants be given access to the Dural site for the purpose of constructing and installing the 6m repeater and cavities and be allowed to remove Institute property specifically associated with the 6m repeater from the Dural site.

This motion was carried unanimously.

Council meeting dates and times were discussed, and the following motion was put:

That changes not be made to announced Council meeting dates without at least fourteen days notice of the change being given and without the agreement of a majority of Councillors.

The motion was left to lie upon the table.

Questions were then invited from the visitors.

Steve Kuhl VK2TQ asked:

- (1) who authorised the security guards;
- (2) who authorised Blake Dawson Waldron be appointed, and did all Councillors have knowledge of it;
- (3) will the two members found not guilty be advised of the result and reimbursed for expenses; and
- (4) will the Glen English decision be reconsidered given that the others were found Not Guilty.

Julie VK2XBR stated that two members were found GUILTY, not Not Guilty. Cesar VK2TCM answered point (1) along the lines that the appointment of the security guards was not discussed at a Council meeting, but apprehension on the part of some Councillors caused the decision to be made. On point (2), the solicitors Blake Dawson Waldron were suggested by Mrs Val Harrison, not a formal Council meeting. Three members of Council signed the agreement, and this agreement was never put to a Council meeting for ratification. On point (3), opinion was that reimbursement has not been considered. On point (4), the matter concerning Glen English should be overturned due to complications in carrying out the actions.

Eric VK2EFY asked what was the reason given for the referral of the EGM requisition for legal advice. Tim VK2ZTM answered that no reason was given at the previous Council meeting.

Dave VK2KFU asked:

whereas D.Horsfall was asked to attend a Council meeting to examine his membership status under Article 21, to wit "conduct unbecoming or prejudicial to the interests of the Division";

whereas D.Horsfall was there under protest, and the fact of his attendance should not be taken as evidence of acquiescence to the proceedings;

whereas requests have been made to the Divisional Secretary, without success, to officially inform D.Horsfall of the outcome;

whereas the Divisional Secretary announced at the EGM that such a reply had been sent;

whereas to date D.Horsfall has not seen such a reply, despite at least one reminder;

when is D.Horsfall going to be notified of the official verdict of the sole charge under Section 21?

Cesar VK2TCM answered that the Divisional Secretary had indeed stated at the EGM that such advice was in the mail.

John Telek VK2XTB stated that he had been taken to task for putting a private "For Sale" message on the packet network, following advice from the SMA and a solicitor that it was acceptable, and as a result he had been barred from the VK6 packet network. It was agreed that the matter be investigated.

On the matter of property keys for Councillors, Cesar VK2TCM said that certain Councillors seem to have greater rights than others as far as keys were concerned; this should be investigated and corrected.

Julie VK2XBR will notify the other Councillors of the purpose and date of the next Council meeting, set for Friday 17th December.

-- Written by Dave VK2KFU under authority from Cesar VK2TCM

--
Dave Horsfall (VK2KFU) VK2KFU @ VK2RWI.NSW.AUS.OC PGP 2.3
dave@esi.COM.AU ...munnari!esi.COM.AU!dave available

Date: 13 Dec 93 17:04:02 GMT
From: ogicse!emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary@network.ucsd.edu
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <1993Dec8.003753.9910@mnemosyne.cs.du.edu>,

<DTD8Dc3w165w@mystis.wariat.org>, <2ear03\$ssg@panix.com>

Reply-To : gary@ke4zv.atl.ga.us (Gary Coffman)

Subject : Re: ARRL's callsign admin position

In article <2ear03\$ssg@panix.com> kb7uv@panix.com (Andrew Funk) writes:

>

>Steve also has an active division "cabinet" made up of all division-level
>appointees, SMs, section-level appointees, and selected others. Before
>each ARRL board meeting he holds a division cabinet meeting to determine
>the position of the division. Quite a number of ARRL Board policies come
>from these meetings, as Steve brings *into* the boardroom the desires and
>opinions of the membership in the Hudson Division.

This is a perfect example of the old boy network in action. The "cabinet" is composed of Steve's appointees. Naturally they reflect his own views to a large extent. While the intent may be to keep a large number of eyes and ears out in the division, and that's good, the result is often, though not certain in this particular case, to be more of an amen chorus from the choir. The current field organization is machine politics at its most raw.

The problem with the League structure as I see it is that it's a one party state controlled mainly by the apparatchiki. It needs a strong loyal opposition to keep it vigorous and honest. I think more directors would help, and directors drawn not just on geographic sections but also from interest groups in amateur radio. If we had a director from the DX community, a director from the packet community, a director from the repeater community, etc in addition to the geographic sections, we'd likely get a more responsive organization. I also think it would be good if members of the field organization were also elected by the groups they would serve, IE traffic people would select their managers etc. This happens to an extent informally now, but I think it should be more of a formalized "merit board" type of thing to reduce the machine politics in the present field organization.
(Lord knows though that it's hard enough to find *any* volunteers to take some of these jobs.)

Gary

--

Gary Coffman KE4ZV	I kill you,	gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary
Destructive Testing Systems	You kill me,	uunet!rsiatl!ke4zv!gary
534 Shannon Way	We're the Manson Family	emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary
Lawrenceville, GA 30244	-sorry Barney	

Date: 12 Dec 93 13:37:54 EDT

From: hayes!bcoleman@uunet.uu.net

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <gregCHo43F.9o4@netcom.com>, <8498.2d061582@hayes.com>, <gregCHruGL.4JC@netcom.com>
Subject : Re: Scratchi, January, 1960

In article <gregCHruGL.4JC@netcom.com>, greg@netcom.com (Greg Bullough) writes:
> In article <8498.2d061582@hayes.com> bcoleman@hayes.com (Bill Coleman) writes:
>>Granted. Why don't we have a show of hands of those who were offended by
>>the Scratchi editorial?
>
> Sorry, but it's not a matter of a vote.

I'm just trying to establish that there's a fire before we clear the theatre. So far, I haven't even seen the smoke. All we have are your statements that someone might be offended. As we can see from how the posting was variously interpreted, no one was offended, and none of the people without prior knowledge drew the racial stereotype you selected.

>> I would hope that we would endeavor to include as many people as possible
>> in this forum; blindly posting racially offensive material is not the
>> way to do that.
>>
>>Greg, I think you are overreacting. While the material in Scratchi may have
>>the potential for offense, let's be clear here that someone was actually
>>offended before we send Myron away.
>
> Gee, let's make sure that someone will really get hurt before we
> yell 'fire' in a crowded theatre.

Actually, what you have done is yell "fire" in a crowded theatre. That is morally reprehensible. Especially when it isn't clear that there's any smoke at all.

All I am saying is that we should recoil from offensive material in light of an actual offense, not from the SUGGESTION of a possible offense. Clear?

> Why are you suggesting that we send Myron away?

By censuring his posting, you are effectively "sending him away" from the internet. Clearly you have stated you don't want him to post Scratchi any longer. So, you suggested it, not I.

> Don't you care anything for the First Amendment?

Yes I do. That is why I see no reason to censure Myron's posting. No one was offended, many people enjoyed it. Myron has the right to free speech within the bounds set by the internet community. I see no reason to chastise him as you

have done.

> You must not, since you're the first one who suggested it.

Greg, this is not logical. Of anyone has taken steps contrary to the first amendment, it is YOU not ME.

>>All this political correctness is enough to make one puke.

>

> So you're saying that you're so uncomfortable with the ideas presented,
> and so unable to cope with them intellectually that it makes you ill?

No, I find that political correctness OVERSENSITISES and SEPARATES people from one another. If people are too cautious in what they say or think, they will never build a sense of world community. Instead, they will be overly concerned with how those "others" might take it. It is exactly the classification of "others" splits us apart. Not some imagined stereotype.

--

Bill Coleman, AA4LR ! CIS: 76067,2327 AppleLink: D1958
Principal Software Engineer ! Packet Radio: AA4LR @ W4Q0
Hayes Microcomputer Products, Inc. ! UUCP: uunet!hayes!bcoleman
POB 105203 Atlanta, GA 30348 USA ! Internet: bcoleman%hayes@uunet.uu.net
Disclaimer: "My employer doesn't pay me to have opinions."
Quote: "The same light shines on vineyards that makes deserts." -Steve Hackett.

Date: 13 Dec 93 22:10:30 GMT

From: ogicse!hp-cv!sdd.hp.com!hpscit.sc.hp.com!hpubmaa.esr.hp.com!
garhow@network.ucsd.edu
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <gregCHtM06.AzD@netcom.com>, <2ea07c\$7ts@hpscit.sc.hp.com>,
<gregCHzAEq.2wz@netcom.com>
Subject : Re: Scratchi, January, 1960

In article <gregCHzAEq.2wz@netcom.com>, greg@netcom.com (Greg Bullough) writes:

|> In article <2ea07c\$7ts@hpscit.sc.hp.com> garhow@hpubmaa.esr.HP.COM (Garry Howard) writes:

|>

|> Well, Garry, as I told you in the e-mail you sent me, a good part of my |> up-jerkin', as they say, was in a part of the West where that's pretty |> standard speech. As an 'owner' of that dialect, I can use it when I |> damn well please.

|>

So what you are saying is it is OK to post stereotypic, possibly offensive,

material as long as you are making fun of your own kind. I guess this means that if the Scratchi post was made by a Japanese then it would be OK and no one should be offended.

|> As I also pointed out to you, it was a response to the 'flaming yer butt'
|> slang, in any case. Which is why I asked about the "Hampshire Hillbillies."
|>

Now who is rationalizing? It is OK to poke fun at "hillbillies", a blatant stereotype portraying southerners, and other from rural mountain areas as ignorant, backwards hicks because you can relate to it.

|> I have tried to carry on an intelligent conversation with you, Garry;
|> but you're going to have to decide whether that conversation takes
|> place publicly or privately.
|>

I posted this before I replied to your private e-mail. Which you initiated by the way, not me! I didn't ask to be harrassed privately. I thought a response here in a public forum was appropriate to your public posting of stereotypic and potentially offensive material. There are other issues we were discussing privately that I don't feel are appropriate for public discussion.

Garry Howard - KE0SH - Cambridge, MA - garhow@a4450gh.esr.hp.com

End of Info-Hams Digest V93 #1460

